1

ALDH1A1 activity in tumor-initiating cells remodels myeloid-derived

2

suppressor cells to promote breast cancer progression

Cuicui Liu^{1,9}, Jiankun Qiang¹, Qiaodan Deng¹, Jie Xia¹, Lu Deng², Lei Zhou³, Dong Wang⁴, 3 Xueyan He¹, Ying Liu⁵, Botao Zhao⁵, Jinhui Lv⁶, Zuoren Yu⁶, Qun-Ying Lei¹, Zhi-Ming 4 Shao^{1,7,*}, Xiao-Yong Zhang^{5,8,*}, Lixing Zhang^{1,*}, Suling Liu^{1,9,*} 5

¹ Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center & Institutes of Biomedical Sciences; Cancer 6 7 Institutes; Key Laboratory of Breast Cancer in Shanghai; The Shanghai Key Laboratory of 8 Medical Epigenetics; Shanghai Key Laboratory of Radiation Oncology; The International Co-9 laboratory of Medical Epigenetics and Metabolism, Ministry of Science and Technology; 10 Shanghai Medical College; Fudan University, Shanghai 200032, China. ² Stowers Institute for Medical Research, 1000 E 50 St, Kansas, MO 64110, USA.

11

³ Institute of Pathology and Southwest Cancer Center, Southwest Hospital, Third Military 12

13 Medical University (Army Medical University), Chongqing 400038, China.

⁴ WPI Nano Life Science Institute (WPI-NanoLSI), Kanazawa University, Kakuma-machi, 14 15 Kanazawa 920-1192, Japan.

⁵ Institute of Science and Technology for Brain-Inspired Intelligence, Fudan University, 16 17 Shanghai 200433, China.

- ⁶ Research Center for Translational Medicine, Shanghai East Hospital, Tongji University 18 School of Medicine, Shanghai, 200120, China, 19
- ⁷ Department of Breast Surgery, Precision Cancer Medicine Center, Fudan University 20 21 Shanghai Cancer Center, Shanghai 200032, China.
- ⁸ Key Laboratory of Computational Neuroscience and Brain-Inspired Intelligence (Fudan 22
- University), Ministry of Education, Shanghai 200433, China. 23
- ⁹ Jiangsu Key Lab of Cancer Biomarkers, Prevention and Treatment, Collaborative Innovation 24
- Center for Cancer Medicine, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 211166, China 25

- 26 *Corresponding authors:
- 27 Suling Liu, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center, Key Laboratory of Breast Cancer in
- 28 Shanghai, Cancer Institutes, Shanghai 200032, China; Tel/Fax: 086-21-34774409. Email:
- 29 suling@fudan.edu.cn
- 30 Lixing Zhang, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center, Key Laboratory of Breast Cancer in
- 31 Shanghai, Cancer Institutes, Shanghai 200032, China; Tel/Fax: 086-21-34771071. Email:
- 32 zhang_lx@fudan.edu.cn
- 33 Xiao-Yong Zhang, Institute of Science and Technology for Brain-Inspired Intelligence, Fudan
- 34 University, Shanghai 200433, China. Email: xiaoyong_zhang@fudan.edu.cn
- 35 Zhi-Ming Shao, Department of Breast Surgery, Precision Cancer Medicine Center, Fudan
- 36 University Shanghai Cancer Center, Shanghai 200032, China; Tel/Fax: 086-21-64175590.
- 37 Email: zhimingshao@yahoo.com
- 38

39 Supplementary Materials and methods

40 **Co-culture of Bone marrow cells and 4T1 cells**

41 Bone marrow cells (BMCs) were harvested from naive female Balb/c mice and contactless co-cultured with 4T1 cells in six-well plates $(1-2 \times 10^5 \text{ cells/well})$ for a total of 6 days. Bone 42 43 marrow cells were cultured in the bottom of a six-well dishes with 24 mm Transwell inserts 44 with a 0.4 μ m pore size on top (Corning Life Sciences, USA). In the Transwell insert, 1×10^4 4T1 cells were plated on day 0. Transwell inserts were discarded on day 3 and a fresh insert 45 with 1×10^4 corresponding 4T1 cells was added again. On day 7, bone marrow cells were 46 47 stained with CD45, Gr1 and CD11b, and percentage of Gr1⁺CD11b⁺MDSCs were analyzed 48 by flow cytometry. All experiments were repeated more than 3 times.

49 MDSC depletion

50 MDSCs were depleted with anti-Ly6G antibody (200ug/mouse, clone1A8; BioXCell, USA) 51 in vivo. Anti-Ly6G antibody and isotype control antibody (200 µg/mouse, IgG, Sigma-52 Aldrich, USA) were administered intraperitoneally into tumor bearing mice every three days 53 starting from day 3 for a total of six times.

54 ELISA and Western Blotting

An enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) was performed with cell culture 55 supernatants to determine GM-CSF levels, following manufacturer's recommendations 56 (ProteintechTM, USA), and GM-CSF levels were calculated according to the standard curve. 57 For Western Blots, total protein in cells was extracted and boiled in SDS loading buffer, then 58 59 protein lysates were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE, transferred onto a PVDF membrane and 60 probed with specific primary antibodies. The detailed information about antibodies were 61 shown in Table S1. HRP substrate (Millipore, USA) was used to detect HRP-conjugated 62 secondary with an Image Quant LAS 4000 mini-imaging system (GE, Fairfield, USA). All experiments were repeated more than 3 times. 63

64 Mammosphere formation assay

65 Tumor cells were cultured with MammoCult Human Medium Kit (STEMCELL, USA) 66 supplemented with 4 µg/mL Heparin (STEMCELL), 1 µg/mL hydrocortisone (Sigma-67 Aldrich), and 1% pen-strep antibiotic (Beyotime, China). 100 or 1000 tumor cells in 100ul medium were plated in each well of 96-well ultra-low attachment plates (Corning Life 68 69 Sciences) and cultured for about 2 weeks. Fresh complete MammoCult medium was added 70 every 3 days. Sphere number were counted and photographed for further statistical analysis. 71 The same operation procedure was performed in 6-well ultralow attachment plates. After the 72 culture was completed, spheres were digested into single-cell suspensions with 0.25% trypsin 73 for subsequent experiments.

74 HPLC-MS

75 Samples were vortex mixed for 2 minutes, settled for several minutes, and then 1ml cold70% methanol solution was added and samples were vortexed for 3 minutes. Samples were soaked 76 77 in liquid nitrogen for 2 minutes, and then defrosted on ice for 5 minutes and vortexed for 2 minutes, repeat the above operation once again. Samples were added 300ul extracting reagent 78 79 containing internal standard 2-chlorophenylalanine (1 µg/ml), and splintered cells with 80 ultrasonic on ice for 5 minutes. Then, samples were centrifuged at 4 °C for 5 minutes at 12,000 81 rpm/min. Supernatants were placed in a new 1.5 ml tube. 100µL of supernatant was used for UPLC-MS/MS. Ultraperformance liquid chromatography, UPLC (Shim-pack UFLC 82 83 SHIMADZU CBM30A, https://www.shimadzu.com/), tandem mass spectrometry, MS/MS 84 (QTRAP@6500+, https://sciex.com/).

85 Intracellular pH detection in vitro

BCECF (2',7'-bis-(2-carboxyethyl) -5-(and-6) -carboxyfluorescein) AM is the most widely 86 87 used fluorescent indicator for intracellular pH. Cancer cells were seeded in six-well plates and 88 incubated under normal conditions till approximately 70-80% confluency. Prepare viable cells in suspension (~ 10^6 cells/mL). Dilute an aliquot of 1 mM BCECF AM ester stock solution 89 90 100- to 500-fold into a physiological saline buffer such as PBS. Add one volume of aqueous 91 AM ester dispersion to one volume of cell suspension. Incubate for 15–60 minutes at $4 \,^{\circ}$ to 92 37 °C. Wash the cells twice with fresh culture medium and then detect the fluorescence at 488 93 nm by flow cytometry. All experiments were repeated more than 3 times.

94 pH_{enh} imaging with MRI in vivo

Control, Aldh1a1 or K193Q/R mutant 4T1 (5x10⁴) cells were transplanted orthotopically into
Balb/c mice respectively. After 18 days, tumors volumes were about 500 mm³, tumor-bearing
mice were imaged by Bruker BioSpec 11.7T horizontal MR scanner with a 75/40 mm
diameter quadrature volume coil. The mice were kept anesthetized by a gas mixture of

99 isoflurane/oxygen (2%/98%, volume ratio). Bite and ear bars were used to reduce 100 respiration-induced motion artifacts, and the respiration and rectal temperature were 101 monitored (SA Instruments, Inc., Stony Brook, NY, USA) during imaging. After a Localizer 102 scanning for animal positioning, respiration-gated T2-weighted imaging were achieved using 103 a rapid acquisition with relaxation enhancement sequence. For CEST-MRI, a continuous 104 wave (CW)-MT sequence with a saturation pulse power of 0.6µT and 1.1 µT was used. Z-105 spectra, presented as measured signals (S_{sat}) normalized by a reference signal (S_0) , were 106 acquired with RF offsets from -2500 to 2500 Hz with a step width of 50 Hz (-5 to 5 ppm on 107 11.7 T). S_0 was obtained by setting the RF offset to 100000 Hz (20 ppm on 11.7 T) for signal 108 normalization. MRI data was processed using MATLAB R2018a software (MathWorks, 109 Natick, MA, USA). The enhancement of pH sensitivity was obtained by combining both 110 amide- and guanidyl-CEST images, and subtracting them.

111

112 Supplementary Figures and Legends





4 Fig. S1. ALDH1A1 increased ALDH⁺ BTICs and promoted breast tumor progression



116 (A) The mRNA expression of Aldh1a1, Aldh1a2, Aldh1a3 and Aldh1b1 in 4T1 cells were 117 quantified by qRT-PCR. (B) Aldh1a1 knockdown (shAldh1a1) in 4T1 significantly decreased 118 ALDH enzyme activity. The knockdown efficiency of Aldh1a1 in 4T1 was verified by qRT-119 PCR. (C) ALDH⁻ and ALDH⁺ cells were sorted using the flow cytometry based on their 120 different ALDH enzyme activity in ALDH1A1-MDA-MB-231 and Aldh1a1-4T1 BC cells. 121 (**D**) $ALDH^+$ cancer cells had more tumorigenic ability in comparison to the $ALDH^-$ ones. The grafted tumor assay was performed using serial dilutions with sorted ALDH⁻ and ALDH⁺ 122 MDA-MB-231-ALDH1A1 cells $(5x10^4, 5x10^3 \text{ cells/fat pad})$ or sorted ALDH⁻ and ALDH⁺ 123 124 4T1- Aldh1a1 cells (1000, 100 cells/fat pad) into female nude mice and Balb/c mice 125 respectively. Tumorigenesis was determined after 4 weeks for MDA-MB-231 cells and 4T1 cells. The BTIC frequency in tumors was calculated by the LDA. (E-H) The 126 127 ALDH1A1/Aldh1a1 protein and mRNA expression were equal between ALDH- cells and 128 ALDH+ cells. ALDH1A1/Aldh1a1 mRNA expression was quantified by qRT-PCR and 129 ALDH1A1/Aldh1a1 protein was measured by Western Blots. (I) The xenografted tumor assay was performed using serial dilutions $(1 \times 10^5, 1 \times 10^4 \text{ cells/fat pad})$ with ALDH1A1 or 130 131 K193Q/R mutant MDA-MB-231 cells. Tumorigenesis was determined after 4 weeks. (J, K) 132 4T1 cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of DEAB for 48 hours; the 133 percentage of ALDH⁺ BTIC population was subsequently decreased.

134 Data were presented as mean \pm SEM, unpaired t test was utilized for E and G, one or two-

135 way ANOVA test was utilized for other figures; ns, no significance, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.





138 (A) Gating strategy for the analysis of MDSCs and TAMs by flow cytometry. Proportion (in CD45⁺ cells) of MDSCs from tumors and host spleens were analyzed with surface markers 139 CD11b and Gr1. CD45⁺CD11b⁺Ly6C^{high}Ly6G⁻ for M-MDSCs, CD45⁺CD11b⁺Ly6C^{low}Ly6G⁺ 140 141 for PMN-MDSCs and CD45⁺CD11b⁺F4/80⁺ for TAMs. (B) Percentage of CD11b⁺ myeloid 142 cells from shNC- and shAldh1a1-4T1 tumors (n=5 /group). (C) Proportion (in CD45⁺ cells) of TAMs from shNC- and shAldh1a1-4T1 tumors (n=5 /group). (D, E) Proportion (in CD45⁺ 143 144 cells) of M-MDSCs and PMN-MDSCs in the tumors and host spleens from shNC- and 145 shAldh1a1-4T1 mice (n=5 /group). (F) qRT-PCR analysis of the expression of NCF1, CYBB, 146 PD-L1, S100A8 and S100A9 in MDSCs derived from shNC- and shAldh1a1-4T1 tumors (n=3 / group). (G) CD8⁺ T cells were isolated from wild-type Balb/c mice and stimulated with 147 148 cocktail. These CD8⁺ T cells were co-cultured in vitro with MDSCs derived from shNC- and 149 shAldh1a1-4T1 tumors, and the proliferation of T cells was analyzed with CSFE assay (n=5 150 /group). (H) The proportion IFN- γ^+ CD8⁺ T cells and TNF- α^+ CD8⁺ T cells in co-culture 151 between MDSCs and activated $CD8^+$ T cells in vitro (n=3 /group). (I) qRT-PCR analysis of 152 the expression of NCF1, CYBB, PD-L1, S100A8 and S100A9 in MDSCs derived from Ctrl-, 153 Aldh1a1- and K193Q/R-4T1 tumors (n=3 /group). (J) The proliferation of CD8⁺ T cells of co-154 culture with MDSCs derived from Ctrl-, Aldh1a1- and K193Q/R-4T1 tumors was analyzed with CSFE assay (n=5 /group). (K) The activation of $CD8^+$ T cells of co-culture with MDSCs 155 156 derived from Ctrl-, Aldh1a1- and K193Q/R-4T1 tumors was validated by the proportion of 157 IFN- γ^+ CD8⁺ T cells and TNF- α^+ CD8⁺ T cells (n=4 /group). Data were presented as mean \pm 158 SEM, one-way ANOVA test was utilized; ns, no significance, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 159 0.001.

Supplementary Fig. S3 С А В 2000 20 ALDH⁺ + Veh. ALDH[.] ALDH⁺ + Veh. ALDH⁺ 4T1 cells Tumor Volume (mm³) Orthotopic ALDH + DSF Tumor collection 1500 412H⁺ Cells (%) implantation ALDH⁺ + DSF 20000 cells / fat-pad Ø 1000 Day 0 Day 9 Day 30 500 ↑ ↑ 1 T T 0 0 DSF or Veh. , po, 50mg/kg mouse, every three days Days ŵ ,6 ϑ P 20 r ŝ Tumor D Ε ALDH[.] + Veh ALDH⁺ + Veh. ALDH + DSF ALDH⁺ + DSF 100-ALDH⁺ + Veh. ns 21.83% 43.08% 22.79% 21.78% MDSCs in CD45⁺ cells (%) ALDH+ + Veh. 80 Tumor ALDH + DSF . 60 ALDH⁺ + DSF 40 55.51% 50.75% 70.21% 48.64% 20 Spleen 0 -t-5 Tumor Spleen CD11b F G ALDH⁻ + Veh. ALDH⁺ + Veh. ALDH + DSF ALDH+ + DSF Tumor 40 ALDH⁻ + Veh. ALDH⁺ + Veh. Cytokines in CD8⁺ T cells (%) 30 ALDH[·] + DSF 9.84% 4.50% 12.28% 10.52% ALDH⁺ + DSF 20 IFN-*Y 10 0 . TNF- α⁺ IFN- y+ SSC 25.39% 6.35% 28.37% 18.34% TNF-+a Н Spleen 40 ALDH⁻ + Veh ALDH+ + Veh. Cytokines in CD8⁺ T cells (%) 30 ALDH' + DSF ALDH⁺ + DSF 20 10 0 TNF- α⁺ IFN- y+

160

161 Fig. S3. Inhibition of ALDH1A1 enzyme activity reduced MDSC enrichment.

(A, B) ALDH⁺ cancer cells had accelerated tumor growth in comparison to the ALDH⁻ group,
which was blocked by DSF. Sorted ALDH⁻ and ALDH⁺ 4T1 cells (2.5x10⁴/fat pad) were
inoculated into female Balb/c mice. After cells inoculated for 3 days, the tumor-bearing mice
were treated with DSF (50mg/kg) or vehicle (Veh.) every three days. Tumor growth was

166 monitored, and tumor volume were measured (n = 8/group). (C) DSF significantly reduced ALDH⁺ BTICs by inhibiting the ALDH enzyme activity. (**D**, **E**) $Gr1^+CD11b^+$ MDSCs were 167 168 markedly increased in ALDH⁺ cell-derived tumors and spleens compared with the ALDH⁻ 169 cell-derived ones, which was blocked by DSF. Representative flow cytometry dot plots (D) 170 showed Gr1⁺CD11b⁺ CD45⁺ cells in tumors and spleens of tumor-bearing mice. The 171 percentages (in CD45⁺ cells) of MDSCs were shown in tumors (n=8/group) and host spleens 172 (n=4/group) (E). (F-H) Active CD8⁺ T cells were also markedly decreased in ALDH⁺ cell-173 derived tumors and host spleens compared to the ALDH⁻ cell-derived ones, which was 174 recovered by DSF. Representative flow cytometry dot plots (F) and proportions of cytokines 175 IFN- γ and TNF- α in CD8⁺ T cells were shown in tumors (n =8/group) (G) and spleens (H) (n 176 = 4/group). Data were presented as mean \pm SEM, one or two-way ANOVA test was utilized; ns, no significance, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001. 177



179 Fig. S4. ALDH1A1 upregulated GM-CSF in BC cells to promote MDSC expansion.

(A) The experimental diagram for MDSCs expansion in vivo induced by conditioned medium.
The female Balb/c mice (n=3/group) were injected intradermally with CM derived from
control-, Aldh1a1- or K193Q/R-4T1 cells for 3 consecutive days, and the mice were

183 sacrificed on the fourth day. Aldh1a1-derived CM increased MDSCs in tumor-free Balb/c mice. (B) Representative flow cytometry dot plots for Gr1⁺CD11b⁺CD45⁺ cells in spleen 184 185 were shown. (C-E) The mRNA expressions of ALDH1A1, CD33 and GM-CSF were 186 positively correlated with each other. Pearson's correlation was calculated. (F, G) G-CSF 187 showed no significantly correlation with either CD33 or ALDH1A1 in mRNA levels. (H, I) 188 ALDH1A1 upregulated GM-CSF expression. ALDH1A1 and K193Q/R mutant MDA-MB-189 231 cells were cultured for 24 and 48 hours, respectively. GM-CSF mRNA expression was 190 quantified by qRT-PCR (H) and GM-CSF protein was measured in culture supernatant with 191 ELISA (I). (J, K) GM-CSF expression at both mRNA and protein levels were decreased after 192 Aldh1a1-knockdown in 4T1 cells. (L) GM-CSF mRNA upregulation induced by active 193 Aldh1a1 was blockaded by DEAB treatment in 4T1 cells. (M, N) GM-CSF knockdown 194 (shGM-CSF) efficiency was verified in control and Aldh1a1 4T1 cells. Data were presented 195 as mean \pm SEM, one-way ANOVA test was utilized; **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.





198 activate MAPK signaling to regulate NFkB pathay.

199 (A) The knockdown efficiency of p65 (shp65) in control and ALDH1A1 MDA-MB-231 was 200 verified by qRT-PCR. (B) GM-CSF mRNA upregulation induced by ALDH1A1 was reversed 201 by p65 knockdown in MDA-MB-231 cells. (C, D) p-JNK, p-ERK and p-p38 were increased 202 in ALDH1A1/Aldh1a1 cells, but not K193Q/R mutant cells compared with control in MDA-203 MB-231 and 4T1 cells analyzed by Western Blot. (E) p-JNK, p-ERK and p-p38 were 204 increased in ALDH⁺ cells analyzed by Western Blot. ALDH⁻ and ALDH⁺ cells were sorted 205 from 4T1 cells. (F) The expression of p-TAK1, p-JNK, p-ERK and p-p38 were inhibited after 206 Aldh1a1-knockdown in 4T1 cells analyzed by Western Blot. (G) DEAB treatment suppressed 207 p-JNK, p-ERK and p-p38 in ALDH1A1 MDA-MB-231 cells analyzed by Western Blot. 208 DEAB treatment (100uM) was applied for different time (0h, 12h, 24h, 48h). (H) The 209 knockdown efficiency of TAK1 (shTAK1) in control and ALDH1A1 MDA-MB-231 was 210 verified by qRT-PCR. (I) GM-CSF mRNA upregulation induced by ALDH1A1 was reversed 211 by TAK1 knockdown in MDA-MB-231 cells. (J) TAK1 knockdown decreased p-JNK, p-212 ERK and p-p38 upregulated by active ALDH1A1 in MDA-MB-231 cells. (K, L) Promoting 213 effect of ALDH1A1 on cell proliferation was reversed by p65 or TAK1 knockdown in MDA-214 MB-231 ALDH1A1 cells. Data were presented as mean ± SEM, one/two-way ANOVA test 215 was utilized; **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

Supplementary Fig. S6



216

Fig. S6. Aldh1a1 decreased the pH in BC cells to activate TAK1-NFκB pathway and
upregulated GM-CSF expression.

219 (A, B) The results of BCECF-AM fluorescent probe analysis showed that Aldh1a1-220 knockdown induced the increase of pHi in 4T1 cells. (C, D) Control, Aldh1a1 or K193Q/R mutant 4T1 (5x10⁴) cells were transplanted orthotopically into Balb/c mice respectively. After 221 18 days, when the average tumor volume in Aldh1a1 group was about 500 mm³, tumor-222 223 bearing mice were anesthetized and imaged (C) by Bruker Biospec 11.7T animal MR scanner, 224 and the pH_{enh} was calculated and graphed (D). (E) p-TAK1, p-p65 and p-IKBa were increased 225 in 4T1 cells under acidic conditions as shown by Western Blots. 4T1 cells were treated with 226 HCl or NaOH to make pHe in a proper pH range (pHe= 6.50~8.30) for 12 hours. (F, G) The 227 GM-CSF expression was upregulated in 4T1 cells under acidic conditions. 4T1 cells were 228 treated with HCl or NaOH to make pHe in a proper pH range (pHe= 6.50~8.30) for 12 hours. 229 GM-CSF mRNA expression was quantified by qRT PCR (B) and GM-CSF protein was 230 measured in culture supernatant with ELISA (C). Data were presented as mean ± SEM, one-231 way ANOVA test was utilized; ns, no significance, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.





(A) The experimental diagram for the combinational treatment. Tumor cells (1x10⁶) digested
from PDX of TNBC (USTC11 established by our laboratory) were injected orthotopically
into NOD-SCID mice. When the average diameter of tumors reached to about 5mm, mice
were randomly divided into four groups and treated with Vehicle control, DSF (50mg/kg, po,

238 once every three days) and GEM (50mg/kg, ip, once a week) alone or in combination. (**B**, **C**) 239 The PDX tumor growth was significantly inhibited by the treatment of DSF and GEM alone 240 or in combination (n = 5/group). Tumor volume was monitored once a week, and tumors were 241 weighted after mice were euthanized. (**D**) $ALDH^+$ BTICs were obviously decreased by the 242 treatment of DSF and GEM alone or in combination (n=5/group). (E, F) The growth of 4T1 allografts was significantly inhibited by the treatment of DSF alone and PD-L1 antibody alone 243 or in combination (n=5/group). 4T1 cells $(5x10^4)$ were injected orthotopically into female 244 245 Balb/c mice. After 9 days, mice bearing palpable tumors were randomly divided into four 246 groups and treated with Vehicle control, DSF (50mg/kg, po, every 2-3 days as the arrow 247 indicated) and PD-L1 antibody (200 ug/mice, ip, every 2-3 days as the arrow indicated) alone or in combination. (G, H) The proportion of CD11b⁺Gr1⁺ MDSCs in the tumors and spleens 248 249 of control-, DSF-, PD-L1- and combination-treated mice were analyzed using flow cytometry 250 (n=5/group). (I, J) The proportion of IFN- γ^+ CD8⁺ T cells and TNF- α^+ CD8⁺ T cells were 251 analyzed in tumors and host spleens after the treatment of DSF and PD-L1 antibody alone or 252 in combination (n=5/group). Data were presented as mean \pm SEM, one/two-way ANOVA test 253 was utilized; ns, no significance, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

Supplementary Fig. S8



254 255 Fig. S8 Aldh1a1 altered the infiltration of TAMs, DCs and B cells in tumor 256 microenvironment.

(A) Percentage (in CD45⁺ cells) of CD11b⁺ myeloid cells from control-, Aldh1a1-, K193Q-257 and K193R-4T1 tumors (n=4 /group). (B) Proportion (in CD45⁺ cells) of CD11b⁺Gr1⁺ 258 259 MDSCs from control-, Aldh1a1-, K193Q- and K193R-4T1 tumors (n=4 /group). (C, D) 260 Proportion (in CD45⁺ cells) of M-MDSCs and PMN-MDSCs in the tumors from control-, 261 Aldh1a1-, K193Q- and K193R-4T1 tumors (n=4 /group). (E) Proportion (in CD45⁺ cells) of 262 CD11b⁺F4/80⁺ TAMs in the tumors of control-, Aldh1a1-, K193Q- and K193R-mice were analyzed using flow cytometry (n=4 /group). (F) Percentage (in CD45⁺ cells) of CD11c⁺ cells 263 264 from control-, Aldh1a1-, K193Q- and K193R-4T1 tumors (n=4 /group). (G) Percentage (in 265 CD45⁺ cells) of CD11c⁺MHCII⁺ DC cells from control-, Aldh1a1-, K193Q- and K193R-4T1 tumors (n=4 /group). (H) Percentage (in CD45⁺ cells) of CD19⁺ B cells from control-, 266 267 Aldh1a1-, K193O- and K193R-4T1 tumors (n=4 /group). Data were presented as mean \pm SEM, one-way ANOVA test was utilized; ns, no significance, p < 0.05, p < 0.01, p < 0268 0.001. 269